

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Guited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/669,141	09/23/2003	Milan Kokta	1035-BI4282	2825	
	7590 11/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ARSON & ABEL L.L.P. ON THE LAKE STE 265		STEIN, STEPHEN J		
AUSTIN, TX 78746			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1775		
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/16/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 11/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4,		me					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Surrous	10/669,141	KOKTA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen J Stein	1775					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 S	eptember 2004.						
_							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-29 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-29 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, ,,	d.					
Attachment(s)		1					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/29/03 + 9/23/03</u> .	6) Other:	CONTRACTOR (FTO-102)					

Application/Control Number: 10/669,141

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-22 in the reply filed on September 24, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9-14 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 3,883,313 (Cullen et al.).

Cullen teaches a CZ grown single crystalline spinel epitaxy substrate (for growing epitaxial layers) having a composition of (MgO):(Al₂O₃)_X where x can be between 1 and 2.3 (See column 2, lines 36-49). The reference further teaches that the wafers are made from boules which have a diameter of about 2 inches (col. 3, lines 63-68). With regard to the process limitations recited in the claims, process limitations in product claims are generally not dispositive on patentability unless it is shown that the process limitations produce a materially different product. MPEP §2113.

4. Claims 1, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 3,658,586 (Wang).

Application/Control Number: 10/669,141

Art Unit: 1775

Wang teaches a substrate made of a single crystal magnesium aluminate spinel having a composition MgO: xAl_2O_3 wherein x = 1.5 to 2.5 (e.g. not less than 2.5 and not more than 4.0) (See abstract). With regard to the process limitations recited in the claims, process limitations in product claims are generally not dispositive on patentability unless it is shown that the process limitations produce a materially different product. MPEP §2113.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cullen et al.

As stated above, Cullen teaches all the claimed limitations of claim 1, but does not disclose the wafer having a diameter of not less than 2.5 inches.

Absent some showing of criticality with respect to the size of the wafer it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the wafer size (a result effective variable) in order to fit make larger substrates for device growth. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Stein whose telephone number is 572-272-1544. The

Art Unit: 1775

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If the attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached by dialing 571-272-1535. The official fax number is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 14, 2004

Stephen J. Stein Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1775